UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,777	03/15/2006	Thoge Hellesoe Dall	900.45201X00	2371
	7590 11/19/200 TERRY, STOUT & KI	EXAMINER		
1300 NORTH S	SEVENTEENTH STR	NGUYEN, CHAU N		
SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON,	VA 22209-3873	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2831	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

7 - 19		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/542,777		DALL, THOGE HELLESOE				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Chau N. Nguyer	1	2831				
The MAILING DA	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>8,18,19,21,31 and 32</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>9-17,20,22-30 and 33</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 July 2005</u> is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pa 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Sta	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:	e				

10/542,777 Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 8, 9, and 21 are objected to because of the following informalities:

in claim 8, line 3, change "the said" to -said--,

in claim 9, line 3, change "a" to -said--,

in claim 21, line 3, change "the said" to –said--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 8, 18, 19, 21, 31, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujii (6,790,399) in view of Mehan et al. (6,403,889).

Fujii (Figure 2) discloses a conveying wire including disc-shaped conveying members for use in endless tube conveyor systems, the wire consisting of a number

Application/Control Number:

10/542,777

Art Unit: 2831

of twisted strands (4) that each are made of threads or fibers, and including an outer jacket (5) to which said conveying members are fastened directly by injection moulding, wherein said outer jacket consists of a polymer. Fujii does not disclose the outer jacket polymer having a melting temperature which is lower than the melting temperature of the plastic material from which the conveying members are injection moulded (re claims 8 and 21). Mehan et al. discloses a bi-layer covering, wherein the outer layer has a melting point higher than the melting point of the inner layer. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a polymer having a melting point lower than that of the conveying members, the polymer jacket (the inner layer) having melting point lower than the polymer of the conveying members (the outer layer), as taught by Mehan et al. to provide heat resistant means for the jacket.

Re claims 18, 19, 31, and 32, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to choose suitable lay length for the strands of Fujii to meet the specific use of the resulting wire since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Application/Control Number:

10/542,777

Art Unit: 2831

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 9-17, 20, 22-30, and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1980. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutiérrez can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/542,777

Art Unit: 2831

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Chau N Nguyen Primary Examiner

Channgny

Art Unit 2831